REMARKS

Reconsideration of this application, as amended, is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-4, 6-14, 16-23, 25-31, 33-40, 42-45, 47-50, 52-55, 57, 59-61, 63-65, 67-69, 71-73 and 75-84 were pending. Claims 1-4, 6-14, 16-23, 25-31, 33-40, 42-45, 47-50, 52-55, 57, 59-61, 63-65, 67-69, 71-73 and 75-84 have been rejected.

Claims 1, 11, 20, 28, 37, 42, 47, 52, 57, 61, 65, 69, 73, 76, 79, and 82 have been amended. No claims have been cancelled. Claim 85 has been added. Support for the amendments is found in the specification, the drawings, and in the claims as originally filed. Applicants submit that the amendments do not add new matter.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1-4, 6-14, 16-23, 25-31, 33-40, 42-45, 47-50, 52-55, 57, 59-61, 63-65, 67-69, 71-73 and 75-84 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,628,303, to Foreman, et al. ("Foreman").

Applicant has amended claim 1 to specifically point out that at least one enabled control element presented on the display is capable to edit the information while the time based stream of information is imported into the system.

The Examiner stated that

> Foreman inherently discloses the claimed features because the system of Foreman can be either captured video information, which currently being received, for displauing at the display area 120 directly from the camcorder without using the video information from other storages such as the videotape of the camcorder, bin, other memory (e.g., col. 9 lines 20-32, and col. 10 lines 27-33), or the user can capture/get the video information from other storages as ordinary way as also explained throughout the invention. Therefore, if the user sends direct signal to the camcorder for capturing video information with the time line (as explained above) at the same time the user can switch to the editing mode, which means the edit mode is enable, as shown in figs, 8-11 (and capture mode,

> e.g., col. 2 lines 45-67, col. 9 lines 23-35, 51-56; switching to different windows and sub-windows, and figs. 8-12).

(Office Action 11/21/2005, pp. 12-13).

Applicant respectfully disagrees. Foreman merely discloses an interface 54 for capturing video (Figure 8). The interface 54 for capturing video includes a display area 120, which displays video information currently being received by the computer, a control 122 that controls recording of the received video information, a display region 132 to display available disk area, a storyboard region 134 to indicate the plan of shots for the selected video program, a timeline 136, and a bar 150 that indicates whether audio is associated with the clip (col. 9, line 20-col. 10, line 6). In particular, Foreman discloses

> A control 122 controls recording of the received motion video information. By selecting the record button 124, motion video information being displayed in region 120 is captured into a data file until the stop button 126 is selected. Audio levels may be displayed at 128 and output of audio information may be muted using selection area 130. A display region 132 also displays available disk area as a function of time of video information which can be captured.

(Foreman, col. 9, lines 34-42) (emphasis added)

Thus, Foreman merely discloses recording control 122 that has a record button, stop button, audio level display, and mute selection, in contrast to presenting on the display at least one enabled control element, which is capable to edit the information while the time based stream of information is imported into the system, as recited in amended claim 1.

Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that amended claim 1 is not anticipated by Foreman under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e).

Because amended independent claims 11, 20, 28, 73, 76, 79, and 82 contain at least the limitations discussed above with respect to amended claim 1, Applicant respectfully submits that amended claims 11, 20, 28, 73, 76, 79, and 82 are not anticipated by Foreman under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e).

Given that claims 2-4, 6-10, 12-14,16-19, 21-23, 25-27, 29-31, 33-36, 75, 77-78, 80-81, and 83-84 depend, directly or indirectly from respective independent claims 1, 11, 20, and 28, and add additional limitations, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 1-4, 6-10, 12-14,16-19, 21-23, 25-27, 29-31, 33-36, 75, 77-78, 80-81, and 83-84 are not anticipated by Foreman under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e).

Applicant has amended claim 37 to include that the capture information is displayed at the same rate or substantially the same rate as the transfer rate for the time based stream of information using an interrupt procedure. The interrupt procedure iterates at the same rate or substantially the same rate as the transfer rate of the time based stream of information.

The Examiner stated that

> If the user sends direct signal to the camcorder for capturing video information (not from other storages), the system will be importing the video information with the time line (as explained above) at the same time rate when capturing images by the camcorder and displaying the images on the display area 120 as shown in in figs. 8-11 (e.g., col. 9 lines 20-32, and col. 10 lines 27-33). The system is importing and displaying the video information with the same time as a synchronized speed as the camcorder during recording/viewing images; it also means the system and camcorder are receiving data as the same rate and time.

(Office Action 11/21/2005, p. 13).

Applicant respectfully disagrees. Foreman merely discloses displaying in the display area 120 the video information currently being received by the computer (col. 9, lines 20-35, Figure 8). More specifically, Foreman discloses that by selecting the record button 124, the video information is captured by the computer until the stop button 126 is selected (col. 9, lines 35-38, Figure 8).

Thus, Foreman merely discloses that the video information is captured by the computer until the stop button is selected, in contrast to displaying the capture information is at the same rate or substantially the same rate as the transfer rate for the time based stream of information using an interrupt procedure that iterates at the same rate or substantially the same rate as the transfer rate of the time based stream of information, as recited in amended claim 37.

Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that amended claim 37 is not anticipated by Foreman under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e).

Because amended independent claims 42, 47, 52, 57, 61, 65, and 69 contain at least the limitations discussed above with respect to amended claim 37, Applicant respectfully submits that amended claims 42, 47, 52, 57, 61, 65, and 69 are likewise not anticipated by Foreman under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e).

Given that claims 38-40, 43-45, 48-50, 53-55, 59-60, 63-64, 67-68, and 71-72 depend, directly or indirectly from respective independent claims 42, 47, 52, 57, 61, 65, and 69, and add additional limitations, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 38-40, 43-45, 48-50, 53-55, 59-60, 63-64, 67-68, and 71-72 are not anticipated by Foreman under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e).

New claim 85 reads as follows:

> A method for collecting a time based stream of information in a processing system for generating a presentation, the method comprising:
>
> A) communicating with an information source having a time based stream of information;
>
> B) <u>presenting a capture information from the time based stream of information on a portion of a display while the capture information is acquired from the information source in a capture mode, the capture mode to import the time based stream of information into the system</u>;
>
> C) presenting a process information for constructing the presentation on the display; and
>
> D) <u>presenting at least one enabled control element on the display to edit the information while the time based stream of information is imported into the system, wherein the capture information and the at least one enabled control element are displayed in a single interface window</u>.

(New claim 85) (emphasis added)

As set forth above, Foreman merely discloses interface 54 that has display area 120 to display video information currently being received by the computer (Figure 4). Interface 54 includes record control 122 with a record button, a stop button, and audio level indicators (col. 9, lines 20-col. 10, line 6, Figure 8), in contrast to presenting a capture information for the time based stream of information and presenting at least one enabled control element to edit the information while the time based stream of information is imported into the system in a single interface window on the display, as recited in new claim 85.

Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that new claim 85 is not anticipated by Foreman under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e).

Conclusion

It is respectfully submitted that in view of the amendments and arguments set forth herein, the applicable rejections and objections have been overcome. If there are any additional charges, please charge Deposit Account No. 02-2666 for any fee deficiency that may be due.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Date: March 21, 2006

By: _____

James C. Scheller, Jr.
Reg. No.: 31,195

12400 Wilshire Boulevard
Seventh Floor
Los Angeles, California 90025
(408) 720-8300